IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Criminal Action

vs.

No. 00-186

WAYNE OTTEY,

Defendant.

Transcript of proceedings on Friday, February 9th, 2007, United States District Court, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, before Judge Lisa Pupo Lenihan, U.S. District Court, Magistrate Judge.

APPEARANCES:

For the Government:

GREGORY NESCOTT, Esq.

For the Defendant:

MARTIN DIETZ, Esq. ALAN SOVEN, Esq.

Court Reporter:

Shirley Ann Hall, RDR, CRR

619 U.S. Courthouse Pittsburgh, PA 15219

(412) 765-0408

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription. **EXHIBIT** 

## PROCEEDINGS

1 2

(In open court.)

3 4

THE COURT: Good morning.

5

ALL COUNSEL: Good morning.

6

THE COURT: We're here in the case of the

7

United States of America versus Wayne Ottey, Case No. 00-186.

8

Mr. Gregory Nescott is representing the Government

9

and I'm not really sure who is representing the Defendant.

10

MR. DIETZ: Your Honor, Martin Dietz here today.

11

I'm here as local counsel. I've entered an appearance in that

12

regard, and it's still an appearance, Judge. I'm here today;

13

but if the Court would be so willing to indulge me, I would

14

like at this time to move for the admission of attorney Alan Soven from Miami, Florida, who will -- has indicated to 15

16 me that he's willing to enter an appearance on behalf of

17

Mr. Ottey, and he would be the one that would be conducting

18 the proceedings today on behalf of the Defendant.

19

20 if you conduct the proceedings; and I'll be happy to admit you

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine with me, Mr. Soven,

21

for purposes of this hearing. I'm not sure that I have the

22

authority to admit anyone pro hac vice, but you can file a

23

motion to that effect with the court. But for today you're admitted and you can represent Mr. Ottey.

24 25

MR. SOVEN: Thank you; good morning.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

clerk.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SOVEN: The dilemma is I'm not moving for permanent appearance, and I don't know by signing the arraignment if I'm now officially in, so I would ask not to be officially in, that I be allowed just to have a limited appearance for purposes just of today's hearing.

I only met him just an hour ago for the first time. I flew in from Miami, so I haven't had time at all to even discuss what the case is about, what my fee would be, if I'm going to be retained; so that's the dilemma I'm faced with.

THE COURT: You flew all the way up here without ironing all those things out? You just love the snow, is that it?

MR. SOVEN: I've never been to Pittsburgh, and I wanted to be here.

1 THE COURT: You know, I don't know how -- now that 2 you've signed the document --3 MR. SOVEN: I have not yet signed it. 4 THE COURT: I'm thinking maybe Mr. Dietz should sign 5 it since you're counsel of record. 6 MR. DIETZ: Judge, I don't have an objection about 7 doing that. I spoke to Mr. Ottey about that. THE COURT: That would make more sense at this 8 9 point. The other thing was I'm not remembering, Mr. Ottey, 10 when we had our first hearing -- I'm sure that I asked if you 11 12 wanted court-appointed counsel; and so depending on your 13 financial situation, if you don't retain Mr. Soven, that's 14 something that we can explore at some point. 15 MR. SOVEN: Very good. 16 THE COURT: All right? 17 MR. SOVEN: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: All right. We will enter the not guilty 19 plea for Mr. Ottey. 20 The case has been assigned to Terrence McVerry. 21 Please be advised that all pretrial motions must be filed 22 within ten days of today's date and any motions for extension 23 must be presented to Judge McVerry within the ten-day period. 24 Mr. Nescott, can you tell us the state of discovery? 25 MR. NESCOTT: Your Honor, I've provided the defense

with the discovery that's available, and there's a lab report 1 2 out of Washington that isn't in my file; we'll track that down 3 and get it to him very shortly. I've advised counsel of that. 4 But beyond that, pretty much everything required under Rule 16 5 has been provided. 6 THE COURT: And how much time do you think you'll 7 need for trial? 8 MR. NESCOTT: Two weeks, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Soven, do you have any discovery issues you'd like to raise today? 10 11 MR. SOVEN: I do not. 12 THE COURT: All right. How much time do you think you'll need for trial? It's an estimate, the purpose of which 13 is so that Judge McVerry can make the appropriate time 14 available on his calendar. I know it's hard to say at this 15 16 point, but --17 MR. SOVEN: After the Government puts on their case, 18 at best, two, three days. 19 THE COURT: Okay, we'll note that. 20 There's also been a request for detention made by 21 the Government. Are we prepared to proceed with a hearing on 22 that today? 23 MR. NESCOTT: The Government is, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Defense? 25 MR. SOVEN: We're ready.

2

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Do we need a court reporter? Oh, I see Shirley; you're hiding.

All right. Mr. Nescott, you may proceed.

MR. NESCOTT: Your Honor, the Government intends to proceed by proffer of the witness, and then we'll make that witness available for cross examination by defense.

The witness is Kevin O'Donnell, a Special Agent with the IRS for the past nineteen-plus years; and Mr. O'Donnell would testify as to the various factors involved in the detention consideration.

First, as to the strength of the case and the nature of the charges, he would testify that the evidence in this case would show that there was a cocaine -- crack cocaine conspiracy existing between 1989 and January of 2000, with cocaine being distributed by the kilos into the western district of Pennsylvania from California and other locations during that period of time; and Wayne Ottey's role was the organizer of this conspiracy.

Particularly, Mr. O'Donnell would testify that a series of witnesses have made statements or spoken with him or testified before the Grand Jury; and just as to a couple of these witnesses for purposes of this proceeding, Mr. O'Donnell  $\,$ would testify that Witness No. 11 -- or W-11 -- would testify or has stated that by 1999 this witness had been transporting cocaine from California into this district for an associate of

 Mr. Ottey's; and in November of 1999 Mr. Ottey came to W-11 and asked her to transport cocaine for him from California to western Pennsylvania.

She agreed to do so, and she saw -- before she began transporting for him in November of '99, she was at a home in Oakland, California, which has since been identified, where Mr. Ottey had multiple kilos of cocaine; and he was breaking them apart and wrapping them in peanut butter and pepper and other things that would mask the odor from drug dogs, and he then packaged them up and put them in suitcases. Again, this was before this witness started making trips for him.

And once she agreed on the third -- on the 20<sup>th</sup> of November of 1999, Mr. Ottey had this witness go to a residence in New York -- in Washington, D.C. on West 57th Street. There they met, and then Mr. Ottey, traveling under the name of Brandant, B-R-A-N-D-A-N-T, Green traveled with W-11 to Oakland, California, to pick up kilos of cocaine.

They stayed at a Comfort Inn in Oakland, California; and the witness and Mr. Ottey went to this home in Oakland, picked up and wrapped up five kilos of cocaine, disguising the odor again and wrapping it in blankets, putting it in a suitcase; and then W-11 and Mr. Ottey got on a plane and headed back to Baltimore en route to Pittsburgh.

On the 23<sup>rd</sup> of November the two of them flew back again, again Mr. Ottey using the name of Brandant Green, not

his own name, flew back into Baltimore/Washington
International Airport. And the following day these two and
another individual drove back to Pittsburgh, to a house in
Penn Hills.

Mr. Ottey advised W-11 that he was a cocaine wholesaler, and he traveled to Pittsburgh about one time a week to distribute his cocaine here.

W-11 also has told Mr. O'Donnell that on the fifth of December she traveled back to the D.C. residence and met with Mr. Ottey. They were to make another trip to California. Mr. Ottey described this residence to her as a safe house. He also told her in December of '99 that the IRS was snooping around, they were looking at him, that he had lost a hundred thousand dollars at JFK Airport in October of 1999, and that he was going to be going to England. He also indicated that his wife's house -- his common law wife's house was not safe for him at that point.

The witness and Mr. Ottey then traveled back to Oakland, California, by air on December the 5<sup>th</sup> -- again, Ottey traveling as Brandant Green -- stayed at the Comfort Inn, picked up another five kilograms of cocaine which was also repackaged, put in a suitcase, and on the 8<sup>th</sup> of December W-11 and Ottey traveled back to BWI Airport.

When the witness went to get the bag, Ottey went to get the car. She grabbed the bag, appeared at the curb, and

q

approached the car, where Mr. Ottey, his cousin Clyde Ottey, and another individual were. As she approached the car, agents approached her. The agents noticed these three men in the car. Clyde Ottey got into the back seat of the car and the car fled from the scene. The agents approached the woman, eventually opened the bag, and found five kilos of cocaine inside the bag.

The corroboration in this case of what W-11 has said consists, among other things, of the five kilos seized by the agents, the fact that Southwest Airline's records confirm

November and December trips by Brandant Green traveling on the same flight with W-11 under her real name; the records also confirm eight trips in 1999 by Wayne Ottey under his name or by Brandant Green, all from Baltimore/Washington to Oakland, California, and back.

Also corroborating this there are records from the Comfort Inn in Oakland, California, where W-11 said they had stayed. Those records show the rooms were rented on these particular dates when these trips were made -- actually on three separate trips in November and December of 1999. The rooms were all rented under the name of Brandant Green; and the person registering as Brandant Green, the driver's license name -- Illinois driver's license was written on the invoices.

The agents have tracked that Illinois driver's license, and they found -- and I'll show it to the Court in a

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

minute -- a driver's license photo that appears to be Wayne Ottey and other witnesses have identified as Wayne Ottey under the name of Brandant Green, Chicago, Illinois.

Briefly, as to the strength of the case, Your Honor, the -- after the December, 1999, arrest of the courier in the Baltimore/Washington Airport, Wayne Ottey dropped from sight for purposes of law enforcement. INS and Customs records were checked because Mr. O'Donnell will testify that those records show the entry of all individuals back into the United States or into Canada, where they could come into the United States; they're kept, computerized records.

The last entry shown in the records is an entry for Wayne Ottey into Toronto in 1997, and there is no entry indicating that he has traveled abroad since that time, at least under the name Wayne Ottey.

Further, a witness, W-14, identified photos of Wayne Ottey who -- and also knew Wayne Ottey as Brandant Green. According to this W-14, the witness was present at least ten times when Wayne Ottey was in the home in Oakland, California, a residence identified on McArthur Boulevard. The witness was present when Wayne Ottey re-packaged cocaine kilos into suitcases and used couriers to take them from California.

At least one time the witness helped Wayne Ottey count about \$36,000 cash out.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

11

And this witness -- W-16, another witness, said that Ottey had kept the gun -- brought a gun and kept the gun at the McArthur Road location in Oakland. And corroboration of some of the things that this witness told the agents, the agents did a trash pull at the McArthur Drive home on January 4<sup>th</sup> of 2000, a few weeks after the courier was arrested, and they found five empty kilo wrappers in the trash and other paraphernalia.

Sometime thereafter they did a search of that home and also on the car belonging to the resident of that home, a woman named Dorothy Gay. Inside the home they found \$112,000 in cash -- inside the car, rather; inside the home they found other items of interest.

Four other witnesses I'll mention briefly, Your Honor, as to Mr. O'Donnell's testimony. Witness 6 will testify -- has stated and/or testified that he was selling crack and cocaine for Wayne Ottey for years, beginning as far back as 1989; that he sent an estimated hundred thousand dollars in wire transfers through Western Union in payment for drugs to Wayne Ottey and to Clyde Ottey over this period of time.

Witness 7 would testify that in the mid '90s Wayne Ottey distributed kilograms of cocaine to this individual in the western district of Pennsylvania on at least three occasions.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

12

W-16, who identified photos of Wayne Ottey and also knew Wayne Ottey using the alias Brandant Green, would testify that the witness saw credit cards in the name of Brandant Green in Mr. Ottey's possession; and the witness also saw Wayne Ottey with a kilogram of cocaine in California in May of 1999.

And, finally, Witness 18 has stated that the witness saw Ottey wrap fifty to a hundred thousand dollars up and package it up to be sent out to California; saw him do this at least two times a month for a period of some time, and that was in 1995, '96.

And this witness also told officers in November of 2002, once they were inquiring about the whereabouts of Wayne Ottey, since he had been indicted by that point, the witness told the officers that the witness had personally counted fifty thousand dollars out for Ottey, and that Ottey had been in Miami a week earlier, but was believed to be back in England at that point, in 2002.

The last part of the proffer as to Mr. O'Donnell, Your Honor -- I'm sorry, that last Witness 16 also told the agents that Wayne Ottey was presently known in 2002 to be using disguised, false IDs, and false passports in his travel.

Lastly, Mr. O'Donnell would testify as to some of the aspects of the risk of flight and history and characteristics of Mr. Ottey.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

13

Mr. O'Donnell has recovered photographs from Western Union of various wire transfers, money orders being sent by different individuals, including Wayne Ottey; and I've given counsel copies of these. And he also has retrieved the Illinois driver's license that I'll present to the Court at this time -- for purposes of this proceeding, we'd mark it as Exhibit 1 -- a copy of an Illinois driver's license with a photo license of Brandant Green.

It shows this license was applied for -- issue date is 10-28-99 and shows Brandant A. Green, with an address of Chicago, Illinois. And that is -- this is an item that Mr. O'Donnell would indicate that has been identified by various individuals as being Wayne Ottey; and the picture is there although it's not perfectly clear.

Mr. O'Donnell also has retrieved three other photos of Mr. Ottey from Western Union here in Pittsburgh. And the first is a copy -- actually, the original -- sending money to a woman by the name of Janeek McCutcheon, who is Mr. Ottey's common-law wife, and it's sent by Wayne Ottey. On this one he used his name, Wayne Ottey; and there's a photo below.

On the second one, which would be Exhibit 3, although marked Grand Jury Exhibit 1, it's money being sent by Wayne Brown with a street address in Pittsburgh, and then money being sent by Wayne McCutcheon with an address in Maryland; and again the three photos of Mr. Ottey all appear

	14
1	at the bottom of those send forms.
2	The last part of Mr. O'Donnell's testimony,
3	Your Honor, would relate to the fact that he has spoken
4	several times, and as recently as this morning, with an agent
5	with ICE in Pittsburgh who has reviewed the records, these
6	printouts, as far as when Mr. Ottey under the name of
7	Wayne Ottey would have traveled back into the United States,
8	and again it was confirmed this morning for Mr. O'Donnell that
9	the last time Mr. Ottey is listed as traveling into the
10	United States is 1997.
11	And I believe the Pretrial Services report indicates
12	Mr. Ottey said he was in England he had traveled as
13	recently as 1999, and then there is an issue of where he has
14	been since that time.
15	That would be Mr. O'Donnell's testimony in this
16	case, and he is available for cross examination.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	Mr. Soven, would you like to cross examine
19	Mr. O'Donnell?
20	MR. SOVEN: Yes, Your Honor, if I may.
21	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. O'Donnell.
22	* * * *
23	KEVIN O'DONNELL, a witness herein, having been first
24	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

MR. SOVEN: Would Your Honor like me to take the 1 2 podium? 3 THE COURT: It's completely up to you, wherever 4 you're more comfortable. 5 MR. SOVEN: Is it all right if I stand? 6 THE COURT: That's fine. 7 MR. SOVEN: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 BY MR. SOVEN: 9 Were you involved in the year 2000 in trying to locate 10 Mr. Ottey? 11 Once Mr. Ottey -- once we had brought an indictment 12 against Mr. Ottey, we had input that information into different indices like NCIC, and the Federal Bureau of 13 Investigation was taking the lead as far as covering leads as 14 15 to his whereabouts. 16 You had nothing to do with ascertaining the whereabouts 17 in the year 2000 of Mr. Ottey, is that correct? 18 No, that is not correct. 19 Then tell me what efforts you personally made to find Mr. Ottey in the year 2000 immediately after his indictment. 20 We --21 Α. No, what you did, sir. 22 Ο. We interviewed --23 A. 24 I'm sorry, I was just referring to you, not to we, just 25 to you.

1 Okay. As a matter of the task force which I was a part 2 of and had access to information, I personally contacted some 3 witnesses as to Mr. Ottey's whereabouts. Specifically, in

2000, I'm not certain. My recollection is not clear as to 4 5 exactly what dates they were contacted on.

- Did you have any personal involvement in looking for Mr. Ottey in the year 2001?
- Α. No, I did not.

6

7

8

- 9 Q. In the year 2002?
- I know there were. Speaking of me personally, what 10 11 efforts I undertook individually, in 2002 we had traveled to
- 12 Maryland and were reviewing Western Union records that were
- 13 located at different locations, different Western Union
- locations; and I know that we had driven by the Croaten Avenue 14
- 15 address, and I believe we had recorded some license plates and
- 16 things of that nature.
- 17 That's probably the extent of physically being in 18 Maryland, looking for Mr. Ottey.
- 19 You learned that he had, in the 1990s, owned a home at 20 5208 Croaten Place, Maryland, am I correct?
- Α. 21 Correct.
- And that you learned that he had lived there with his 22 23 children's mother, a woman by the name -- a woman by the name
- 24 of Janeek McCutchery, am I correct?
- 25 Α. Janeek McCutcheon I believe is her name.

6

17

- McCutcheon, yes, that was his children's mother. She lived at that home also, correct?
- 3 We knew that she lived there. I don't know if we 4 physically ever put him there.

and the warrant was issued, that Ms. McCutcheon was

- 5 Oh. And you learned that, shortly after the indictment
- 7 interviewed, correct?
- 8 I wasn't present.
- 9 Q. Did you learn that?
- 10 I don't have a recollection of that. I know -- I can
- tell you that the FBI agent was on our task force, sent out 11
- 12 leads to different offices for them -- tasking them to look
- 13 for Mr. Ottey.
- 14 Did you learn that the FBI went to the home at
- 15 5208 Croaten Place looking for Mr. Ottey?
- 16 I knew that the FBI had conducted a search warrant at
- 17 that residence, but it was an unrelated investigation, and it
- 18 was not, my understanding, for the purpose of looking for
- 19 Mr. Ottey.
- 20 And you learned that the agents spoke to
- Miss McCutcheon either at her place of work or at her home, am 21
- 22 I correct?
- 23 Again, I did not -- I don't recall reviewing any
- reports seeing Miss McCutcheon being interviewed. I know that 24
- 25 leads were sent out to those resident offices by the FBI here.

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

And what the results of those were -- I don't have a recollection of what the results of those leads were. Well, did you learn from the FBI and other law enforcement agencies that Ms. McCutcheon advised that Wayne Ottey is living in England? Is that true? We had information that he had -- was living in England or has not -- I don't want to say living in England, but had traveled to England; but my source of that information was not Janeek McCutcheon. All right. So you knew he was a citizen of the United Kingdom, am I correct? A. Yes. And that he had a passport to the United Kingdom, correct? I assume that he did. All right. So to determine whether he had left the United States to travel to England, and since he was living in the Baltimore area, did you check with the airlines in the months of November, December of 2000 to see whether someone by the name of Wayne Ottey had taken a plane and flown to England? I had tasked the INS agent who was assigned to our case and asked him to review or to send out a query for those types

of records. And that query yielded a -- a number of -- I thought they were all entry records of Mr. Ottey's travels

- 1 into the United States up through, I believe, like October of 2 197.
- 3 And did you see that Mr. Ottey departed from Dulles
- 4 Airport, from Washington, D.C., in November of 1999 under his
- 5 name, Wayne Ottey, and flew to the United Kingdom, to London;
- 6 did you see that?
- 7 I saw no such record.
- 8 Now, since you knew that he was a citizen there and
- 9 that he was in this country, you learned that he was in this
- 10 country on a green card, correct?
- 11 That's correct.
- 12 That he was here legally in this country?
- 13 That's correct.
- 14 And you learned that, through INS, that when he came to
- 15 this country to obtain his green card, the form he filled out
- gave his address in England, which happened to be his mother's 16
- 17 address in Birmingham, Great Britain. Birmingham, the City of
- 18 Birmingham, not London; you learned that, did you not?
- 19 I believed it was his -- I thought it was his father's.
- 20 Q. His mother's house.
- I believed it was his father's. 21 Α.
- 22 All right. So you had an address in England, correct?
- 23 Α. Correct.
- 24 And when you had the address in England and you knew he
- 25 was a citizen of that country, did you make a phone call to

20 the authorities in England and say: Can you drop by this 1 2 house, knock on the door, and see if Wayne Ottey is there? Did you do that? 3 4 We did a -- through the United States Marshals Service, 5 I provided them with all information, including that address. 6 And I didn't get back any positive response that they were 7 able to locate him there or if they made such a query; I'm not 8 aware of that. 9 Ο. Or if they even tried, you don't know? 10 Α. We didn't get any positive response. 11 So no one to your knowledge went to the house that is 12 owned by his mother, that he listed on his immigration form, 13 and knocked on the door and said: Wayne Ottey, are you here? Am I correct? No one did that to your knowledge. 14 15 We didn't get any positive response that he was at that 16 address. 17 Did you learn that Wayne Ottey was living at his 18 mother's house in Birmingham, had a telephone bill in his 19 name, a light bill in his name, an electric bill in his name, and was getting a Government subsistence in his name? Did you 20 know that? 21 22 A. We had no information that he was residing there. Did you look -- not you personally, did the 23 United States Government ask the British people to look, to 24 25 just check?

A.	Again,	we	had	provide	ed	information	to	the	United	States
Marsha	ls Serv	ice	that	that w	<i>i</i> as	a possible	100	catio	on where	e he
could h	oe. An	d I	don	't know	wł	nat what I	lead	ds th	nat they	У
covered	d in tr	yind	ı to	discove	er	his whereabo	outs	s the	ere.	

- Okay. So if our Government or the British Government dropped the ball, so be it.
- 7 Now, about two weeks ago Mr. Ottey was arrested, am I 8 correct?
- 9 Α. Yes.

6

- 10 Q. He was arrested because he was a passenger in a car 11 that had been stopped for a traffic infraction, correct?
- 12 A. He was -- he was --
- 13 Q. He was a passenger in a car, right?
- 14 Α. He was a passenger in a car and was subsequently
- 15 arrested when he --
- 16 Q. Let's not get ahead.
- 17 Α. Okay.
- He was a passenger in a car? 18 Q.
- Α. 19 Yes.
- 20 Q. The car was stopped.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. Mr. Ottey was asked for his name and his address,
- 23 correct?
- 24 Α. I understand that's correct, yes.
- 25 Q. And the name that he gave was Wayne Ottey when he

1 got -- when he was the passenger in the car, correct? 2 Yes. 3 And he was then asked: Do you have a middle name? And 4 he gave the name Garfield, correct? 5 I don't know that. 6 Oh, okay. And he had on him no aliases and no 7 identification of any other people, correct? 8 I don't know what -- that's correct. There was no 9 identification -- I don't believe he had any identification. 10 He didn't have a phony passport or anything, any other documents of some other person on him, is that correct? 11 12 He didn't have any identification on his person from 13 what I understand. 14 But he freely gave his name, Wayne Ottey, correct? 15 Α. He told the officer his name was Wayne Ottey. 16 Q. Okay. And he had on him about \$63 in cash? 17 I'm unaware of that. 18 MR. SOVEN: May I have one second, please? 19 THE COURT: You may. 20 (Off the record discussion.) BY MR. SOVEN: 21 22 The Government made some mention of Western Union transfers, am I correct? 23 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And those Western Union transfers were in 1997, is that

23 1 right? 2 A. I believe a number of them were, yes. 3 In fact, all of them that I have -- that I've been 4 provided by the Government are all 1997, is that right? 5 I believe so. 6 Q. About three years before his indictment? 7 Yes. 8 MR. SOVEN: Your Honor, I have nothing else of this 9 witness. 10 MR. NESCOTT: Just two. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Nescott. 12 MR. NESCOTT: Two follow-ups. 13 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. NESCOTT: 16 Mr. O'Donnell, first of all, the primary obligation for 17 searching for Mr. Ottey after his indictment was delegated 18 first to the FBI and then to the marshals, is that correct? 19 That's correct. 20 And, secondly, when Mr. Ottey was found ten days ago or 21 so, the circumstances as you understand it -- because what 22 happened here is there's a trooper that made the stop, is that 23 right? 24 That's right. Α. 25 0. And that trooper -- you've been talking to the FBI

23

24

25

BY MR. NESCOTT:

point?

1 agent here, and the FBI agent has been talking to the trooper, 2 and you've all been comparing notes as to what you understand 3 happened, is that right? 4 That's correct. 5 And at this point it is your understanding there was 6 not really a report of that. There was a traffic stop, 7 Mr. Ottey was found to be who he is and was turned over to the 8 marshals down there, is that accurate? 9 That's accurate. 10 All right. When the car was stopped in which Mr. Ottey 11 was a passenger ten days ago, the driver was not permitted to 12 operate -- didn't have a license, so was arrested or something 13 like that, couldn't drive that car anymore, is that right? 14 MR. SOVEN: Excuse me, objection. He's leading the 15 witness. BY MR. NESCOTT: 16 17 I believe that the -- the driver of the vehicle's license had been suspended. 18 19 Okay. And then Mr. Ottey was asked whether he could drive the vehicle and whether he had any --20 21 MR. SOVEN: Objection, leading the witness.

THE COURT: Try to rephrase.

What was Mr. Ottey asked, as you understand it, at that

```
this conspiracy was -- fled on bail. He -- you know, he was
 1
 2
     in the company of a known fugitive and involved in the same
 3
     conspiracy to traffic cocaine here to the western district.
 4
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 5
               Anything else from counsel?
 6
               MR. NESCOTT: No, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Nothing.
 8
               Mr. Soven, any other questions?
 9
               MR. SOVEN: Of this officer, no.
               THE COURT: Yes.
10
11
              MR. SOVEN: No, I do not.
12
               THE COURT: All right, okay.
13
               Thanks, Agent, you're excused.
14
               (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
15
               MR. NESCOTT: Your Honor, the Government -- the
16
     Court, of course, has the Pretrial Services report and
17
    recommendation. The Government has no further evidence to
    present at this time.
18
              THE COURT: All right.
19
20
              Mr. Soven? Do you have any testimony or evidence
     for the Court?
21
22
              MR. SOVEN: What I have, Your Honor, to rebut the
23
    presumption --
24
               THE COURT: Yes.
25
              MR. SOVEN: -- is present in court are these three
```

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

young ladies. One is Winsome Cousley, who is his cousin, who resides in Baltimore, Maryland, at 6998 Clover Hill Road, where he has been living with -- who he has been living with for the last two years.

She is prepared to be his custodian and vouching for him, be responsible for him, and comply with any conditions of curfew that the Court would enter. The home that she lives in is owned by her mother, which is Mr. Ottey's mother's sister, his aunt. She lives in Brooklyn, New York, but she owns the home in Maryland. She is present.

I guess that young lady sitting over there, to our left, her name is Lena Cousley. Lena is prepared to put up her house, which is almost everything she owns in the world.

THE COURT: The home in Baltimore.

MR. SOVEN: The home in Baltimore.

Also sitting on the far right is his cousin, who lives in the outskirts of Atlanta, Georgia. Her name is Rose Allen Hamilton; Allen is A-L-L-E-N. And she lives at 50 Thornberry Way in Covington. She owns a home in her name. She's willing to put up her home to secure the bond condition.

His girlfriend, who has been his girlfriend with -on and off for fifteen years, who has been with him for the last two years, would have been willing to be his custodian. However -- her name is Green, Sophia Green. But the reason why she can't be here is that she is in the United States Army

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

28

and last week or two weeks ago was just shipped to Kuwait, so she's now on active duty.

With respect to his family, he has a brother who lives also in the Baltimore, Maryland, area, who is a doctor, an internist. His brother's wife is a doctor, and they own a home and they live in Baltimore.

He has a brother who lives in Baltimore also that transports medical supplies that you don't want to touch from hospital to the laboratory. The brother's name is Michael Ottey, O-T-T-E-Y.

> His other brother's name is Colin Ottey, C-O-L-I-N. His mother, who couldn't come here today because

she's too ill and cannot walk, lives now in Orlando, Florida. I spoke to her. She wanted to be here, but she just couldn't. And that is with respect to overcoming the presumption under the detention statute.

May I have a second?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Off the record discussion.)

MR. SOVEN: May it please the Court, I have no witnesses to testify other than having these young ladies willing, should the Court agree to a bond and find there are some conditions of release that would satisfy the Court, insure his presence in court, other than that I have no witnesses; but I would like, when the time is appropriate, to

	29
1	make an argument for the reasons why there are conditions that
2	would satisfy the Court to guarantee his appearance.
3	THE COURT: Well, obviously, the Government, as
4	we've all recognized, has a presumption for detention. The
5	burden then shifts to you to produce the evidence; so why
6	don't you make argument now and then I'll have Mr. Nescott
7	respond.
8	MR. NESCOTT: Your Honor, before argument, there has
9	been a proffer of Winsome Cousley's testimony. I would like
10	to call her as on cross.
11	THE COURT: Absolutely you may do so.
12	MR. NESCOTT: Winsome Cousley.
13	* * * *
14	WINSOME COUSLEY, a witness herein, having been firs
15	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
16	CROSS EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. NESCOTT:
18	Q. Miss Cousley, where are you living at the present time
19	A. 6998 Clover Hill Road; that's in Baltimore, Maryland.
20	Q. And how long have you lived there?
21	A. I've lived there for about four years.
22	Q. And Wayne Ottey is your cousin?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. First cousin?
25	A. Yes.

- 1 Now, how -- when is it that he moved in with you?
- 2 About two years now.
- 3 Okay. And does he pay rent? Ο.
- 4 Well, he pitch in every now and then. Not that much.
- 5 All right. And in the last year -- he would have been
- 6 there every day of the last year?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 For the most part? Q.
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 Q. The last two years he's basically there all the time?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- Does he work? 12 Q.
- 13 He does a little bit construction.
- 14 Who does he work for? Q.
- 15 Armando -- he like goes out with them, Armando, and
- 16 helps -- like they build houses. As a matter of fact, they
- 17 did the basement together, so --
- And did he -- does he have a record business as well? 18
- 19 Oh, no; he's just a contractor.
- 20 Okay. So the last two years you haven't been
- 21 involved -- you haven't been aware of any involvement that
- 22 Wayne Ottey has had with a record business?
- 23 No, sir, just trying to get back his foot into it.
- And during the period of two years he's been living 24
- with you, did you know him to use any other names?

31 1 No, sir. Α. 2 Q. And did he receive mail at your home? 3 No, none. 4 And before he came to live with you about two years 5 ago, where was he last living? 6 Α. Oh, that I can't recall. 7 Q. And in the last two years, to your knowledge, did you 8 travel anywhere with him? 9 A. Sure. We've been to New York together. 10 Q. Out of the country? 11 A. No. 12 To your knowledge, in the last two years has he 0. 13 traveled out of the country? 14 Α. Not -- not -- not -- I'm not aware of. 15 MR. NESCOTT: I have no further questions. Thank 16 you. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Soven. 18 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. SOVEN: 21 What do you do for a living? 22 Oh, I'm studying for LPN, but I'm a certified nurse 23 assistant now. 24 How long have you been doing that? Q. 25 A. Ooh. About sixteen, eighteen years; could be twenty.

32 1 What school do you attend? 2 HMI. 3 Where is that? 0. 4 Eastern Avenue in D.C., Northeast, Washington, D.C. 5 Q. Does anyone else live at the house with you and Wayne? 6 Yes, my sister, my older sister. 7 Q. What is her name? 8 Athea Cousley. 9 Q. What does she do? 10 She stays home. She used to go to a day school because 11 she's handicapped, so she's -- she has a disability. 12 Q. She does. 13 Yes. A. And do you care for her also? 14 Q. 15 A. Yes. 16 And she has been living for the last two years also with you and with Wayne? 17 18 Correct. 19 MR. SOVEN: Thank you very much. I have nothing 20 else. THE COURT: Miss Cousley, do you work now? 21 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 23 THE COURT: What are your hours generally? 24 THE WITNESS: My hours are from 3 to 11. 25 THE COURT: Five days a week.

```
33
 1
               THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
 2
               THE COURT: And your sister who is handicapped,
 3
     what -- how functional is she? Is she able to get up, walk
 4
     around?
 5
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, she walks around.
 6
               THE COURT: Can you tell me what her handicap is?
 7
               THE WITNESS: Her whole left side is paralyzed.
 8
               THE COURT: But she can ambulate on her own.
 9
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. How old is she about?
              THE WITNESS: She's a year older than me, so she's
11
12
     going to be 40 this year, as a matter of fact.
13
               THE COURT: Does she have any mental disability?
14
               THE WITNESS: Maybe she forgets every now and then,
15
    but that's it.
               THE COURT: Okay.
16
17
              Any other questions?
18
              MR. NESCOTT: None, Your Honor, thank you.
19
               THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Cousley. You're
20
    excused.
21
               (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
22
               THE COURT: Would you like to cross examine any of
23
    the other witnesses?
24
              MR. NESCOTT: No, Your Honor, thank you.
25
               THE COURT: All right.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 34

Mr. Soven?

MR. SOVEN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you like to make argument?

MR. SOVEN: I would, thank you. I appreciate the opportunity.

It appears that the Government is moving for this pretrial detention on the theory of flight risk. Clearly, there is insufficient or no evidence at all that Wayne Ottey, when he left to go home to live at his mother's home in England, was fleeing the jurisdiction. He left months before the indictment was filed.

There is no evidence from the Government's own witness that he knew that there was a warrant and that -- for him. There's no evidence he was a fugitive. He lived in England openly. He lived in England in his mother's house and that address the Government was aware of; and used the Government's services, Government loan, gas bill, electric bill. And the Government never bothered to call up the authorities and ask them to knock on the door.

When he was arrested, he did not conceal his identity or hide his whereabouts. Not only did he give his name, he gave his middle name and Social Security number, all of which was true. He was not found with any documents showing any aliases or any attempts to hide his name. He didn't have great sums of money on him. He had, in fact, \$63,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

nothing else. He had no drugs on him.

He has never been arrested for a crime in this country or any country. He has never served any time in jail.

He has a very close family, for the most part, that live in Baltimore, Maryland; his two brothers, his cousin, who he lives with in a home that's owned by his mother's sister, who has come to court today from Brooklyn and traveled here, flown here to tell the Court that she's willing to put up her house.

His cousin has flown here from Atlanta to tell the Court she is willing to put up her house. His cousin who testified who is a nurse and taking care of her sister is willing to be his custodian.

He has -- he works, although he's getting paid cash, for a construction company, Armando Construction. He also has been working and getting \$1300 a month in salary. He doesn't have a lot of money. He has no house, no car, no assets, no property in his name, nothing.

I haven't been hired yet. I don't know if I will be; and the family have all chipped in to ask me to be here today just for this hearing.

But the question, the most important question to be answered is: Was he intentionally a fugitive? Was he avoiding -- avoiding arrest? And there's no evidence that he intentionally evaded arrest, none.

2 3

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

> 18 19

21 22 23

24

25

20

In fact, his children's mother, when asked by agents, FBI agents or some law enforcement agents, back at the time of the indictment said he was in England. The Government had the address.

The Court asked and did not get an answer; there is no evidence that he knew there was a warrant for his arrest. He has no means to escape to any other country. He has a passport which his aunt has, and she's prepared to, as a condition of his bond, to turn over the passport to the Court. He has no incentive to flee. His aunt is putting up everything she owns.

I would ask the Court and suggest the following bond: That Wayne Ottey be released on a one hundred thousand dollar bond, personal surety bond; that it be secured by real property, the two pieces of real property which is in Atlanta and in Baltimore; that there also be a hundred thousand dollar unsecured bond signed by his Aunt Lena and by his cousin who he lives with; that he be released to the custody, as custodian, to his cousin or to anyone else that the United States Government or Pretrial Services approves; that curfew be set by the Court or by Pretrial; that he surrender his passports; that he have no travel outside of Baltimore, Maryland, without previous approval by the Court and, of course, to come here.

The Government under Section 3142 of the US Code

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

37

has -- has not given the Court sufficient cause that he is a flight risk, and I would ask that you allow him to be released, and that those conditions would reasonably assure his appearance in court.

Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Nescott?

MR. NESCOTT: Thank you, Your Honor.

This is a very interesting case because defense is arguing: Well, we can't show that he knew about the indictment, that he was wanted, so he wasn't really fleeing. But in arguing that, defense is asking you to forget about what's occurred in the years immediately prior to that as far as false IDs and the very serious activities that were going on according to the proffer.

The Defendant in this case is a British citizen. The Defendant in this case says, through his cousin, he's been living with her for the last two years; and she, however, says well, no, he didn't receive mail there; and, no, I don't know where he was living before two years ago, which really is the question here.

You know, there are reports of sightings of him in England or out of the area or where he had gone, no one is really quite sure, but we can look at the history of what went on for a decade before and try to determine whether or not he

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

is a risk of flight.

As the Court well knows, under the detention statute, there are four areas for the Court to consider, the first being the nature of the charges, the strength of the case, and the Court knows that this does involve cocaine, crack cocaine. The Court has heard that it is -- the Court knows that that's a crime that carries a ten year maximum or more. Indeed, here it carries a ten year mandatory minimum if five kilos or more are proven; and I would suggest to the Court that that is a very real consideration in risk of flight.

Mr. Soven argued that what motive does he have to flee? Well, the motive is very clear. If convicted on this, he's looking at least at ten years or more.

But apart from that, Your Honor, as far as the strength of the case, the Court can see that this involved many kilograms of cocaine. It involved a period of conduct over a period of months and years. It involved the actual seizure of five kilograms of cocaine at BWI Airport; and according to the proffer, that seizure occurring in December of 1999. That seizure followed a trip made by Brandant Green and the courier from California.

But the Defendant is proffering: I wasn't even in America at that point. I went back to England in November of 1999.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

39

Now, of course, the other problem is, Your Honor, if 1 2 he indeed went back to England in '99, there are no records 3 showing that he ever re-entered this country in '99 or 2000 or who knows when, and who knows where he has been. And that --4 indeed, if this were a case where there's no indication of 5 6 false IDs being used -- maybe that's not so important, but I 7 would suggest to the Court that the Court has before it the

Illinois driver's license which was applied for in late 9 October of 1999.

According to the proffer, shortly after the Defendant was caught at JFK, a hundred thousand dollars was taken from him by agents. Shortly after that, he comes up with this ID. The photo here has been identified as Wayne Ottey by various individuals; and it says he lives in Chicago, Illinois. And then he starts traveling with a courier under this name, and he makes hotel reservations and stays at a hotel in California under this name.

And even before then he was no stranger to false IDs, false names. Why in sending a money order would you use a false name? And, indeed, you have the three pictures on the money -- on the wire transfers with -- one is Wayne Ottey, one is Wayne Brown, and one is Wayne McCutcheon. Again, the Defendant is experienced at using false IDs.

The Government cannot show where he has been these past years, but just the fact that we cannot show that does

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

40

not mean the Court should release him on bond at this point.

The first two prongs, the nature of the charges and the strength of the case, are there for the Court to consider. I would consider the strength of the case is, in fact, very strong based upon the testimony of multiple witnesses, the statements, testimony offered through the agent.

The third factor, as the Court well knows, is the character of the Defendant. The Defendant told Pretrial Services, oh, yes, he's a record promoter. Well, his cousin said: I don't know anything about any record company at this point, during the two years he's lived with her; and the Defendant has paid -- says he's being paid cash to work some job, but there's really no other support for that.

The -- well, in any case, as to that prong, a personal history characteristic, the Defendant has moved around a lot, has used multiple IDs; the Government would suggest he is a very real risk of flight.

Additionally, the Pretrial Services's recommendation is before the Court, and Pretrial recommends for all these factors he should be detained as well, and I would suggest to the Court that that is what should be done in this case.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nescott.

The Government does have in this case a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions would reasonably insure the appearance -- the safety of the

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

41

community and that the Defendant would not flee.

The burden then shifted to the Defendant to produce some credible evidence for the basis for his contention he will appear and not pose a threat to the community. The Third Circuit has characterized this burden to be relatively light and easy to meet; and I think that the fact that the family is here, willing to act as custodian and also to post two pieces of property does for me shift the burden back to the Government to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he poses a danger to the community or by a preponderance of the evidence that he's a flight risk.

I would agree with counsel for the Government that the weight of the evidence against Mr. Ottey is very strong; and, obviously, the case is a crime that involves narcotics. On the other hand, the history and characteristics of the accused is another factor that I have to consider.

He has no record, either before or after this offense. Family ties is something that I am mandated to consider by the Bail Reform Act, and we have people who have flown here from -- at great distance and at great expense to stand up for Mr. Ottey in a sense and post their property, and I must admit that I am swayed by that. Were they not here, I think I would agree with the Government's contention that he would have the means to flee if he so desired.

There is evidence that he has used some false IDs,

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 42

but those -- that evidence appears to all be at least before the year 2000, and there really isn't a whole lot of evidence that efforts were made to find him or to serve him with the arrest warrant. I'm not sure whether he should have called and turned himself in on the chance that there was an arrest warrant, but at least I don't have the evidence that a great effort was made to locate him and that he actively fled from service of the warrant.

He wasn't on probation or parole at the time of the offense charged.

And I do think that there are conditions, at least that have been offered, that can reasonably assure his appearance, being those proposed by counsel relative to the real property bond, his cousin has offered to be a custodian.

If I were to grant release, I would want electronic monitoring and home detention which would allow Mr. Ottey to leave for work, religious things, education, meeting with counsel, those kind of things, but only preapproved by Pretrial Services.

The one problem that I have, however, is that I'm sure that our Pretrial Services has not had a chance to look into whether or not Miss Cousley could be a suitable third party custodian. You know, who really owns the property, what it's value is; and I -- before I make a final decision, I'd like to give them an opportunity -- or maybe the Baltimore

1	Pretrial Services Office some opportunity to look into the
2	suitability of the offered third party custodian and also the
3	property issue.
4	So what I would state right now is I'm not going to
5	rule. I'm going to allow my order to stand of temporary
6	detention.
7	How long do you all think it would take you to
8	investigate this further?
9	PRETRIAL SERVICES: Well, I do have
LO	THE COURT: Could we do it by next week?
L1	PRETRIAL SERVICES: Yes. Next
L2	MR. SOVEN: Your Honor, would it help any they're
L3	here today, and they have all their paperwork, the deed, the
L4	title, the tax deed
L5	THE COURT: That would help, and I think we'll need
L6	to do a background check or a criminal records check on
L7	Ms. Cousley.
L8	MR. SOVEN: Would that help to start get the ball
.9	rolling?
20	THE COURT: You think you could get maybe a
21	supplemental report to me by when?
22	PRETRIAL SERVICES: Monday afternoon.
23	THE COURT: Okay, that would be fine.
24	I'm going to just withhold my order; but assuming
25	that Pretrial Services confirms all of this and all the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

44

testimony that's been offered and Miss Cousley is a suitable third party custodian, what I would consider would be the bonds that you have mentioned, a hundred thousand secured, naming the custodian, electronic monitoring, home detention, you know, give up the passport, no firearms, no drugs, the standard conditions, and then obviously not being allowed to travel other than to here for the -- any court appearances that he may have and anything else the Government might want to suggest. So I'm going to just hold off; and as soon as I hear from you, I'll enter the appropriate order. Is there anything else anyone wants to put on the record? MR. NESCOTT: Nothing, Your Honor. MR. DIETZ: Your Honor, one thing. Speaking with Mr. Nescott, I don't believe he has an objection to unsealing this entire case. I would ask the Court if the Court could enter an order. I notice we did mention the name of the other Defendant, but that's fine with me; it's really up to the Government. MR. NESCOTT: Yes, I don't think it's any secret. I think when it was partially sealed in an earlier proceeding the name was mentioned accidentally by the Court. THE COURT: Sorry.

MR. NESCOTT: So the Defendant knows, so I think --

we'll file a motion to unseal the entire document.

MR. DIETZ: Just for ease of filing as well, makes it a lot easier to file.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DIETZ: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: We are adjourned.

(Whereupon, at 12:30 p.m., court was adjourned.)

## $\underline{C} \,\,\underline{E} \,\,\underline{R} \,\,\underline{T} \,\,\underline{I} \,\,\underline{F} \,\,\underline{I} \,\,\underline{C} \,\,\underline{A} \,\,\underline{T} \,\,\underline{E}$

I, Shirley Ann Hall, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-titled matter.

s/Shirley Ann Hall ADR, CRR Official Reporter